



Maxim > Design Support > Technical Documents > Tutorials > Amplifier and Comparator Circuits > APP 1760

Maxim > Design Support > Technical Documents > Tutorials > Audio Circuits > APP 1760

Keywords: audio amplifier, speaker driver, BTL, bridge-tied load, switching audio, Class D, PWM, switch-mode, H-bridge, efficiency

TUTORIAL 1760

High-Efficiency Class D Audio Amplifiers Extend Battery Life in Portable Applications

Sep 20, 2010

Abstract: This application note examines the operation and benefits of a Class D (switch-mode and filterless) audio amplifier. Switch-mode amplifiers such as the mono MAX4295 and stereo filterless MAX9701 offer high quality audio with improved efficiency when compared to traditional linear audio amplifiers (Class A, Class B, and Class AB). This application note presents an overview of traditional linear audio amplifier designs and then compares them with Class D amplifier design. The benefits of newer Class G amplifiers are acknowledged. The article shows efficiency calculations and output filter design for Class D amplifiers. The high efficiency of Class D amplifiers is demonstrated with the MAX9701.

A similar version of this article appeared in the August 2002 issue of *Electronic Products* magazine.

Introduction

Power-hungry linear amplifiers have long dominated the world of audio. But as consumers of portable electronics demand smaller devices with longer battery life, designers are replacing conventional linear amplifiers with high-efficiency devices. Switching audio has thus found a niche. Although Class AB amplifiers dominate the world of audio, Class D (switch-mode) amplifiers provide the balance between efficiency and distortion required by portable devices.

In its simplest form, a switch-mode amplifier consists of an H-bridge and a load (**Figure 1**). The H-bridge load includes the speaker impedance and a passive filter. The H-bridge steers current to the speaker, and the LC filter attenuates the switching noise. To understand more clearly why this method is better suited to portable devices than the conventional linear amplifier, we must examine the advantages and pitfalls of each topology. Before making a full comparison, we first look at the characteristics common to all audio-specific output stages.



Attend this brief webcast by Maxim on TechOnline




Figure 1. A Class D output stage uses MOSFET switches to alternate the current path to the load.

Amplifiers are typically classified by their output stages. Even with identical input and gain stages, amplifiers with different output stages exhibit different levels of performance and efficiency.

Of the common output-stage topologies (Classes A, B, AB, and D), Class D amplifiers exhibit the highest efficiency. A linear output stage (Class A, B, or AB) draws considerable bias current while sourcing and sinking current into a speaker. A nonlinear (Class D) output stage improves efficiency and extends battery life by eliminating this bias current. The efficiency of Class D is gained at the cost of greater noise and distortion. Therefore, in portable equipment which allows linearity to be traded for efficiency, a Class D amplifier is the best choice.

Class A

The simplest and yet most effective approach, the Class A topology, consists of a bias transistor and a voltage-follower transistor. Because both transistors operate always in their active regions, such circuits produce lower distortion than any other class of output stage. This makes Class A devices ideal for high-fidelity systems. Constant linearity is the Class-A topology's greatest asset, and its Achilles heel as well. Efficiency suffers because the high-side transistor draws appreciable current and the low-side transistor must be biased to handle peak currents at all times. At maximum signal levels, the maximum efficiency of a Class A stage is given by:

$$\eta = P_{\text{OUT}}/P_{\text{SUPPLY}} = \frac{1}{2}(V_{\text{CC}}I_Q/2V_{\text{CC}}I_Q) = 0.25 \text{ or } 25\% \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

This relationship assumes that the maximum output voltage is V_{CC} , and that $V_{\text{CC}} = |V_{\text{EE}}|$. (See *Analysis and Design of Analog Integrated Circuits*, by Grey and Meyer.) Such an amplifier doubles as a space heater in high-power applications and as a "gas guzzler" in battery-operated equipment.




Figure 2. A Class A output stage exhibits the best linearity of all topologies, but draws the most supply current.

Class B

When compared with Class A, the Class B topology offers greatly improved efficiency at the cost of much higher distortion. Consisting of two transistors that are not active simultaneously, the Class B amplifier exhibits a maximum efficiency given by:

$$\eta = P_{\text{OUT}}/P_{\text{SUPPLY}} = (V_{\text{CC}}^2/2R_{\text{L}})/(2V_{\text{CC}}^2/\pi R_{\text{L}}) = 0.78539 \text{ or } 78.5\% \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

Again, this equation assumes that the maximum output voltage is V_{CC} and that $V_{\text{CC}} = |V_{\text{EE}}|$. Because this topology is not linear throughout its full dynamic range, it produces more distortion. Consider the circuit and graph in **Figure 3**, where the output stage consists of an NPN and a PNP transistor. As you sweep the input from V_{EE} to V_{CC} , the output passes through a "dead" zone of 1.4V in which both transistors are off. This dead zone causes crossover distortion. With a sine-wave input applied to a Class B stage, the effect of crossover distortion is tantamount to the exclusion of every tenth word in this article.




Figure 3. A Class B output stage is more efficient than a Class A, but it exhibits crossover distortion.

Class AB

The output-stage topology most commonly used in monolithic audio amplifiers is Class AB. By adding bias circuitry to a Class B stage, the Class AB output stage drastically reduces crossover distortion while maintaining most of the Class B efficiency. Biasing improves linearity (which reduces distortion) by ensuring that at least one output transistor is active when the input is between -0.7V and +0.7V. The efficiency (with respect to Class B) declines when both transistors are active simultaneously, but that is a small price to pay for markedly improved audio performance.

Most of the other amplifier topologies available are not well defined. Indeed, similar designations for different schemes are used by some manufacturers. Beyond Class A, Class B, Class AB, and Class D, the other amplifier typologies are either very esoteric or not applicable to audio amplifiers. New cell-phone designs require smaller area while maintaining audio sound-pressure level. Speakers have typically been the component that limits the thinness of the design. New developments in ceramic, or piezoelectric, speakers have brought a new challenge and Class G amplifiers have emerged as the perfect fit.

Class D

As mentioned above, linear amplifiers with similar input and gain stages can have dramatically different output stages. A Class D switch-mode amplifier, however, differs completely, input to output, from the linear amplifier. It resembles a switch-mode voltage regulator more than an amplifier. The [MAX9701](#) filterless Class D audio amplifier ([Figure 4](#)) features several improvements to basic switch-mode amplifier technology.

A Class D amplifier like the MAX9701 offers Class AB performance with Class D efficiency. The device's unique, filterless modulation scheme, synchronizable switching frequency, and spread-spectrum switching mode create a compact, flexible, low-noise, highly efficient audio power amplifier. The differential-input architecture reduces common-mode noise pickup, and can even be used without input-coupling capacitors. The inputs can also be configured to accept a single-ended input signal. Comparators monitor the MAX9701 inputs and compare the complementary input voltages to the sawtooth waveform. The comparators trip when the input magnitude of the sawtooth exceeds their corresponding input voltage. Both comparators reset at a fixed time after the rising edge of the second comparator trip point, thus generating a minimum-width pulse (t_{ON_MIN}) at the output of the second comparator. As the input voltage increases or decreases, the duration of the pulse at one output increases while the duration of the other output pulse remains unchanged. This causes the net voltage across the speaker ($V_{OUT+} + V_{OUT-}$) to change. The minimum-width pulse helps the device to achieve high levels of linearity.




Figure 4. The functional diagram of the MAX9701 stereo Class D audio amplifier.




Figure 5. MAX9701 outputs with an input signal applied.

Nonlinear Amplification, Signal Reconstruction, and THD

In contrast to conventional linear amplifiers, the Class D amplifier includes a comparator that breaks apart (i.e., samples) the audio input by comparing it against the sawtooth. During a switching period, the comparator output is low when the sawtooth exceeds the input signal and high otherwise (**Figure 5**). For clarity, the sawtooth frequency shown is relatively close to that of the audio, but usually it is much greater than the maximum audio frequency.

For a given input level, the comparator's output is a duty-cycle-modulated square wave with period determined by the sawtooth frequency. The comparator effectively samples the audio input. In addition to frequency, the amplifier's dynamic range is determined by the noise floor and the sawtooth magnitude. If the audio signal at the comparator exceeds the magnitude of the sawtooth, the resultant duty cycle is the same as if the signal were equal to the sawtooth. At the load, this condition appears as clipping.

The PWM signal controls the H-bridge drivers, turning opposite pairs of MOSFETs off and on and thereby reversing current to the load within a single period (Figure 1). As Figure 1 shows, the signal in an audio amplifier is generally biased midway between the supply voltages. With no signal present, therefore, the comparator's output duty cycle is 50%. (This behavior is covered in the discussion on filters below.)

It appears that the Class D amplification method distorts the signal. After all, THD is the result of nonlinearity in a circuit, and Class D stages break up the audio into nonlinear square waves. The output filter's averaging effect, however, enables Class D amplifiers to achieve THD figures < 1%. The output filter is typically a second-order LC lowpass filter, with cutoff frequency set just above the desired system audio bandwidth. The filter removes high-frequency content from the H-bridge square-wave output and allows the amplified audio to pass through unchanged.

All lowpass filters have finite rejection in their stopband, and a typical second-order LC filter is no exception. A small amount of the switching frequency "bleeds" through to the load. This usually has no consequence because common audio transducers are incapable of reproducing such frequencies. Even if the speaker was capable of creating these high frequencies, the end user could not hear them. Other filter nonlinearities are within its own passband and, therefore, produce a small amount of THD and noise. Prudent filter design can reduce these aberrations below audible levels.

Hear Only What You Want, and Filter Out the Rest

Proper filter design results in excellent amplifier bandwidth and THD performance. By attenuating the switching frequency and its harmonics, a filter with the right cutoff frequency and rolloff extracts the audio signal with minimal distortion. A filter with a 30kHz corner frequency, for example, passes 20kHz audio signals with less than 1dB of error and 16kHz signals with less than 0.4dB of error. This loss of bandwidth is tolerable for most audio applications, because the typical adult cannot hear beyond 16kHz. The filter type should be chosen according to the demands of a given system; the flat passband and good phase response of a Butterworth filter is a good choice for most applications.

Output-filter design should be based on the frequency response desired for the system. Switching frequency is a major factor; lower frequency imposes a lower filter cutoff, and vice-versa. For example, a passive, balanced, 2-pole RLC filter with 30kHz cutoff frequency (**Figure 6**) is sufficient for a 250kHz switching frequency. Lower cutoff frequency reduces the amplifier bandwidth, of course, but that might be acceptable in a given application. Bandwidth loss may be intolerable in a radio or CD player, but high-frequency loss for voice amplification is not an issue if a 5kHz range is sufficient. **Table 1** shows the bandwidth and typical switching frequencies for some common audio applications.




Figure 6. A balanced, 2-pole, lowpass Butterworth filter is ideal for most Class D applications.

Table 1. Bandwidth and switching frequencies for common audio applications

System	Bandwidth	Switching Frequency
Telecom audio	5kHz	125kHz to 1MHz
AM/FM radio	15kHz	250kHz to 1MHz
PC audio	20kHz	250kHz to 1MHz
High-fidelity audio	20kHz	250kHz to 500kHz

The PWM square-wave output frequency from a Class D amplifier is beyond the response of the human ear and also of typical speakers. Consequently, the ear's bandwidth and the speaker's frequency response together serve as a sufficient filter for the switching frequency. Now you might ask, if driving a speaker with high-frequency square waves produces decent audio quality, then why does the Class D amplifier need an output filter? The answer is because the elements of an external LC filter absorb the switching-frequency energy. Without a filter, this energy and the audio signal power must both be

dissipated in the speaker.

Because a Class D amplifier continues to switch and provide current to the load even with no audio signal present, a speaker that is not robust can be damaged by the high-frequency components of a Class D output. An output filter also reduces electromagnetic interference (EMI), which can become an issue if the output traces (or wires) are long and unshielded; if EMI-sensitive components are located near the amplifier; or if amplifier and speaker are not in the same enclosure.

Oscillator Frequency

The frequency of the sawtooth oscillator is an important consideration in designing a Class D amplifier. The PWM output (switching) frequency determines both the output-filter requirements and the sampling rate for the audio input.

The best balance between performance and component size is achieved with an oscillator frequency at least 10 times the maximum signal frequency, which is typically 20kHz. A 250kHz switching frequency, for example, allows moderately sized 15 μ H inductors and 1 μ F capacitors for a 4 Ω load to set the output-filter bandwidth at 30kHz. Such a filter attenuates the switching frequency sufficiently while allowing most of the audio signal to pass unattenuated to the load. For the **MAX4295** mono Class D audio amplifier, a 250kHz oscillator frequency and 4 Ω load yields a THD of 0.3% and an efficiency of 85%.

An oscillator frequency less than 10 times the maximum audio signal can introduce distortion because of the amplifier's lower sampling rate. For a 20kHz audio input and 200kHz oscillator, the output signal is reconstructed with 10 PWM periods per signal period. For a 100kHz oscillator, however, the output signal is reconstructed with five PWM periods per signal period. While this difference might seem insignificant for a single-tone input, the frequency of actual audio signals can vary widely in a short time. This variation can allow a small portion of the input signal to be missed due to lower sampling and the output filter's averaging effect.

A lower oscillator frequency not only increases the reconstruction error, but also requires a lower filter-cutoff frequency to sufficiently attenuate the switching frequency. Lower cutoff frequencies require larger component values, filling precious board space and increasing the overall cost. A lower cutoff frequency also reduces the amplifier's frequency range by increasing the attenuation of higher frequency audio signals.

The greater distortion incurred by a lower oscillator frequency is offset by gains in efficiency. Switching losses are reduced because the MOSFETs do not switch as often, and that improves the efficiency. (See section on efficiency below.) Conversely, a frequency far in excess of 10 times the audio input reduces the filter size and improves distortion, but it does lower efficiency. A higher oscillator frequency allows the filter cutoff to be higher, thus attenuating the switching noise sufficiently while allowing smaller component values. This condition lowers cost and component sizes for the output filter while extending the amplifier's frequency range.

Increasing the sampling rate reduces distortion to a certain extent, but slew rates for the comparator and bridge drivers become more important at high frequencies. A switching frequency of 1MHz has a period of 1 μ s, for example, assuming that the comparator slews at 50V/ μ s and that its output switches from 0V to 5V. With an output duty cycle of 50%, the average output voltage over the 1 μ s period, regardless of slew rate, is 2.5V. Reducing the duty cycle to 5% does not give the comparator's output enough time to reach 5V before the output state changes. The output rises to 2.5V in 0.05 μ s, then returns to 0V in the same amount of time. The averaged output is now 125mV, which is one-half the expected 250mV. A similar behavior occurs when the duty cycle is 95%. Thus, the comparator's finite slew rate causes attenuation of the peak signal levels. Efficiency suffers at higher switching frequencies because the

device switches more often. (See section on efficiency below.)

100% Efficiency, Theoretically

Admittedly, Class D amplifiers can be more design intensive than linear amplifiers, yet the benefit of their efficiency justifies a more complicated system when simpler solutions already exist. Consider some comparisons. The theoretical best efficiency for a traditional linear-amplifier output stage is 78% (as mentioned earlier), but linear amplifiers exhibit that efficiency only at their peak output power. The output stage of a Class D amplifier, in contrast, delivers a maximum theoretical efficiency of 100% over its full dynamic range, and with sub-1% THD.

Efficiency for a Class D output stage is attributed to the region of operation for its transistors. Output transistors in a linear amplifier operate in their active regions, but the corresponding transistors in a Class D stage require a certain level of bias current to buffer the voltage signal and drive current to the load. This bias current (not demanded by the load) lowers efficiency by causing extra power dissipation in the output transistors. Because voltage across the load is a function of the filter and the duty cycle of the PWM square wave (a two-level voltage signal), the output transistors need only "steer" current to the load. This allows the output transistors, typically MOSFETs, to operate in their linear regions and draw zero bias currents.

IR losses (conduction losses) contribute the most power dissipation in a Class D output stage. **Figure 7** shows the equivalent circuit for the stage during one operating state. R_{ON} is the MOSFET on-resistance; R_P is parasitic resistance for the combined metal interconnects, bond wires, lead frame, and PCB traces; R_F is the parasitic resistance of the filter components; and R_L is the load. Given these values, the efficiency of the stage (ignoring switching losses) is:

$$\text{Efficiency} = \eta = P_{OUT}/P_{SUPPLY} = I_{OUT}^2 R_L / (I_{OUT}^2 (2R_{ON} + 2R_F + R_P + R_L)) \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$




Figure 7. This DC equivalent loop shows the source of resistive losses in a Class D output stage.

Assuming no resistance in the components and traces except in the load, then the efficiency of the Class D output stage is:

$$\eta = P_{OUT}/P_{SUPPLY} = I_{OUT}^2 R_L / I_{OUT}^2 R_L = 1 \text{ or } 100\% \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

Because output power is directly related to I_{OUT} and because the I_{OUT} level is not a factor in the efficiency equation, the amplifier is theoretically 100% efficient over its entire dynamic range—a vast improvement over the conventional linear alternative.

Operation in the Real World

Unfortunately, ideal components do not exist and the Class D amplifier is not 100% efficient. Finite resistance in circuit elements is the most obvious contributor to power loss. A less obvious source of power dissipation is switching loss in the MOSFETs. As stated earlier, MOSFETs act like resistors when they operate in the linear region. Because they do not make instantaneous transitions from off to on, they spend a brief period during each cycle in the active region where each draws extra current. The resulting power loss during one switching period (P_{SWITCH}) is:

$$P_{SWITCH} = \frac{1}{2}f_{OSC}I_{OUT}^2(t_{ON} + t_{OFF})2R_{ON} \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

Where f_{OSC} is the oscillator frequency, and t_{ON} and t_{OFF} are the MOSFET rise and fall times. Switching at 1MHz consumes four times more power than at 250kHz. Considering resistive losses and switching losses, the efficiency for a Class D amplifier is, therefore:

$$\eta = \frac{P_{OUT}}{P_{SUPPLY} + P_{SWITCH}} = I_{OUT}^2R_L / (I_{OUT}^2(2R_{ON} + 2R_F + R_P + R_L) + \frac{1}{2}f_{OSC}I_{OUT}^2(t_{ON} + t_{OFF})2R_{ON}) \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

Based on this equation, you can roughly estimate the real-world efficiency of a Class D amplifier as the ratio of R_L to the other resistances in the circuit. Therefore, assuming $R_L = 4\Omega$, $f_{OSC} = 250\text{kHz}$, $t_{ON} = t_{OFF} = 25\text{ns}$, $R_{ON} = 250\text{m}\Omega$, $R_F = 25\text{m}\Omega$, and $R_P = 80\text{m}\Omega$, η equals 86.33%. This is not 100%, to be sure, but it is considerably higher than that of a conventional linear amplifier. Increasing R_L to 8Ω boosts the efficiency to a surprising 92.66%. These percentages do not account for power consumed by the front-end circuitry, the comparator, or the oscillator and drive circuits. That power loss has been ignored, because it is low with respect to power in the output stage.

Measurements on a Class D amplifier such as the MAX9701, driving a 4Ω load over most of its dynamic range, show efficiencies close to 85%. Efficiency decreases with output power because the quiescent current becomes larger with respect to the output current (**Figure 8**).




Figure 8. The MAX9701 efficiency improves as output power increases.

Conclusion

Most fully integrated switch-mode amplifiers are not intended for high-fidelity audio applications. Instead, devices such as the MAX9701 are intended for portable, battery-powered equipment like cell phones, portable radio/CD/tape players, MP3 players, laptop computers, PDAs, portable DVD players, and mobile Internet devices. Consumers of those products are lured by the promise of portability and how long the battery power will last, not by the expectation of a stunning, theater-quality audio experience. As the market for portable devices continues to grow and Class D amplifiers gain acceptance, you can expect to see more switching amplifiers, featuring greater flexibility and higher levels of integration.

Related Parts

MAX4295	Mono, 2W, Switch-Mode (Class D) Audio Power Amplifier	Free Samples
MAX4295	Mono, 2W, Switch-Mode (Class D) Audio Power Amplifier	Free Samples
MAX9701	1.3W, Filterless, Stereo Class D Audio Power Amplifier	Free Samples

More Information

For Technical Support: <http://www.maximintegrated.com/support>

For Samples: <http://www.maximintegrated.com/samples>

Other Questions and Comments: <http://www.maximintegrated.com/contact>

Application Note 1760: <http://www.maximintegrated.com/an1760>

TUTORIAL 1760, AN1760, AN 1760, APP1760, Appnote1760, Appnote 1760

Copyright © by Maxim Integrated Products

Additional Legal Notices: <http://www.maximintegrated.com/legal>